



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.26

July 7th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "A pickpocket is obviously a champion of private *enterprise*. But it would perhaps be an exaggeration to say that a pickpocket is a champion of private *property*. The point about Capitalism and Commercialism, as conducted of late, is that they have really preached the extension of business rather than the preservation of belongings; and have at best tried to disguise the pickpocket with some of the virtues of the pirate..."

Capitalism is really a very unpleasant word. It is also a very unpleasant thing... The truth is that what we call Capitalism ought to be called Proletarianism. The point of it is not that some people have capital, but that most people only have wages because they do not have capital... What I complain of, in the current defence of existing capitalism, is that it is a defence of keeping most men in wage dependence; that is, keeping most men without capital..."

— "The Outline of Sanity" by G.K. Chesterton, 1927

A LETTER TO A WHITE LIBERAL by James Reed: In the wake of the Professor Andrew Fraser controversy I happened to come across an internet posting by a White liberal mouthing the usually politically correct nonsense about embracing other cultures and not being afraid of differences. Mark Richardson, who is presumably an academic as well, wrote this excellent quotable reply:

"You are no more fearless or open-minded or well travelled than anyone else here. You are, at the moment, heavily influenced by a liberal culture which tells you that your role as a white male is to prove how open and accepting you are to foreign cultures and peoples.

The problem is that this "embrace" of the "other" has gone so far that it is really undermining the future existence of Anglo-Australians and our national culture.

Do you really believe that this tradition will survive when Anglo-Australians have been reduced to a tiny percentage of the population through the effects of immigration and intermarriage? If the current situation continues for long enough then Anglo-Australians will simply cease to exist, as will all European peoples.

This will not enhance "diversity" despite what the liberal culture tells you. It will simply wipe out the Western portion of the world's ethnic cultures.

If you are fearless as you say you are, you will realise that now is the time to take on your most basic

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *A Letter To A White Liberal* • *Sure It Can't Happen To Us?* • *Professor Fraser Fights Back Has Uni 'In His Sights'* • *Peter Costello And The Republic*

role as an adult male, namely to honourably defend your own tradition, so that it can be safely handed down to the next generation. This is the most worthy task you can set yourself at this point of history."

Source: <http://majorityrights.com/index.php/weblog/comments/1180/>

SURE IT CAN'T HAPPEN TO US? by James Reed: Sao Paulo, Brazil has seen in recent weeks the death of 52 people, including 35 police, from gang attacks. Thirty nine prison rebellions have occurred. The attacks were planned by the First Capital Command gang or PCC in reprisals for transferring gangsters to a high security prison. The PCC is involved in drugs and arms smuggling. At present it is busy launching attacks on police stations with machine guns, bombs and shotguns. Police officers in bullet-proof vests man police stations with barriers placed in front of them. The war has begun – what some call World War V (III = Cold War, IV = war on terror) – the breakdown of the nation-state and the rule of law. Alice Springs is our own case study.

After I had written the last paragraph East Timor tottered on the brink of social chaos and Australia scratched up enough troops to try to stop the people of the east and the west, of East Timor, murdering each other. I found an interesting quote from Greg Sheridan, foreign editor of *The Australian* (25/5/06, p.10) that may come back to haunt us all in multi-ethnic societies. Sheridan said:

"[The] alleged ethnic conflict between those from the east of East Timor and those from the west of East Timor points up the narcissism of small differences. This marvellous phrase, not my own of course, captures the pervasive reality that people whom may be indistinguishable to an outsider will find differences to fight over if they want to. In reality, big ethnic differences are no more likely to lead to conflict than tiny ethnic differences."

On the contrary, commonsense suggests - along with the long history of human ethnic conflict - that the greater the differences, the greater the case in finding differences.

If there can be battles to the death over small differences God help societies where difference has been encouraged as a trendy ideology. God help us.

PROFESSOR FRASER FIGHTS BACK – HAS UNI 'IN HIS SIGHTS': Macquarie Professor Andrew Fraser has lodged complaints with the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission today (HREOC) alleging political discrimination and anti-white racial vilification. The Associate Professor of law will provide documentary evidence supporting his complaint of political discrimination against Macquarie University.

In July 2005, Professor Fraser wrote a controversial letter to the *Parramatta Sun* in which he suggested that large-scale immigration from black Africa could lead to increasing levels of crime, violence and a wide range of other social problems.

Almost immediately, Macquarie University was subjected to intense political pressure from black African organisations, the N.S.W. Jewish Board of Deputies and many other "anti-racist" activist groups and individuals demanding that Professor Fraser be sacked.

Soon after returning from overseas, the then Vice-Chancellor of Macquarie University, Professor Di Yerbury declared that Professor Fraser's view were "repugnant" to her, offering a series of apologies on behalf of the University to African migrant groups.

The Vice-Chancellor also sought to procure Professor Fraser's immediate resignation, offering to buy out his one-year pre-retirement contract which is due to terminate on June 30th, 2006. When Professor Fraser declined that offer, the University immediately cancelled his classes and suspended him from teaching.

Shortly thereafter, the University lent its weight to an organised campaign of political intimidation aimed at Professor Fraser. It sponsored a "Racism Within" forum (really a latter-day Stalinist show-trial) where hundreds of Macquarie academics and students gathered to denounce Professor Fraser's alleged "extreme racism" in terms bordering on the hysterical.

Despite assurances from his Dean that Professor Fraser would be permitted to resume teaching once Professor Yerbury had resigned in early February 2006, the University cancelled his classes once again in the first semester of the current academic year.

The decision to suspend Professor Fraser this year was taken explicitly because his political views on race were deemed likely to influence his approach to the subject he was set to teach; namely, American Constitutional History.

Professor Fraser will be retiring from Macquarie University at the end of this week. Unlike other academic retirees who intend to remain research-active, he has been denied the status of an Honorary Associate which would entitle him to library privileges facilitating research into his next book on "*Anglophobia: Its Causes and Cure*". That petty academic vindictiveness is the latest step in a year-long campaign of discrimination by the University against his political heresies.

In a case of turnabout is fair play, Professor Fraser also has lodged a complaint against the *Parramatta Sun* and its editor Charles Boag. The Human Rights Commission declared Professor Fraser's observations on black crime to be an unlawful form of racial vilification. But the same issue of the *Parramatta Sun* that published Professor Fraser's allegedly "racist" letter carried a signed editorial by Charles Boag asserting that it is mere "fantasy" to worry about black crime in light of the notorious record of "murder and mayhem on a great scale" committed by white Europeans, here in Australia and elsewhere in the world.

Professor Fraser looks forward to finding out whether the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission is, as advertised, a neutral and impartial investigative body. He hopes that a double standard will not be applied by the Commission allowing white Europeans to be subjected to wholesale "racial vilification" while suffering blatant political discrimination whenever they protest the loss of their freedoms and their ancestral homelands. He is, however, not at all confident that his hope will be fulfilled.

PETER COSTELLO AND THE REPUBLIC from David Flint's e-mail newsletter: "Speaking at *The Bulletin's* Top 100 "Most Influential Australians" lunch in Sydney on June 26th, 2006, the Treasurer in HM Australian Government, the Hon. Peter Costello, saw the need to reaffirm his republicanism. Mr. Costello, once a constitutional monarchist, was converted to republicanism only after becoming a minister. He is on record as saying the system "is broke".

In his speech, reported in *The Australian* of June 27th, 2006, Mr. Costello said the magazine might reserve a place on its list in 100 year's time for someone 'who provides a model capable of winning genuine public support to improve and preserve our democracy and translate our current legal arrangements into those of a republic'."

Editor's comment: Mr. Costello has absolutely no concept of the type of democracy most Australians want. The *organic* type we are looking for stems from the Christian concept of freedom. We want a *political democracy* – a democracy of decentralised policy making where we, as a free people, are left to make decisions (policies) – as far as is practicable – for our own lives. A first step would be to reduce the burden of taxation. Let us spend our own money, in our own way, on what we want to spend it on. We want government to butt out! Stop interfering in our lives!

Genuine 'democracy' is the self-determination of the individual within the framework of the moral of the social body. The idea put forward by politicians that it is 'majority rule' is a big con. It is the spin they use while fleecing us of our rights and property.

David Flint continued: "If there was one issue that tears the Liberal Party apart, it is republicanism. In the referendum, we had the spectacle of a cabinet publicly and at times acrimoniously divided. In the meantime, Liberal Party members are more often than not, supporters of the existing Constitution and flag. The National Party and the Labor Party have platform commitments, so that constitutional monarchists, at least among Labor politicians, usually have to keep a low profile.

But why did Mr. Costello raise the issue?

"Our long held view is that Mr Costello's conversion to republicanism and his need to restate it is at least partly due to something economists call 'brand differentiation.' As we understand it, in a market with a few large players, competition is often more about spending money on advertising the brand rather than on price. According to this interpretation, Mr. Costello frequently needs to show he is different, and more modern, than Mr. Howard. This message is directed not only to the electorate but, perhaps more importantly, to the 'serious' media which is overwhelmingly republican. (Many politicians over-emphasise the power of the 'serious' media. They should take as an example the recent truck drivers' dispute with Tooheys. It was not the intervention of the 'serious' media which assured the truck drivers their victory.)

Greg Sheridan, the foreign editor of *The Australian*, has come to a similar but far harsher conclusion to ours. In one of the hardest hitting pieces on the Treasurer ever published, ("The grating pretender" *The Australian*, March 2nd, 2006) Mr. Sheridan wrote that 'this shallow, lazy, lucky and opportunistic Treasurer does not deserve to run the country.' He declared that there is not the slightest way of knowing what sort of change Costello would bring, or even what he believes in or stands for.

For the past 10 years, Mr. Sheridan observed, the Treasurer had tried to differentiate himself from John Howard, by being on the left 'Costello the republican, Costello the supporter of reconciliation, Costello the champion of a tolerant society. He ran as Howard lite, Howard minus, the little Howard. The bigger disappointment about Costello is just how lazy and shallow his thinking is whenever he's not speaking from a Treasury script.'

To Mr. Sheridan, for most of the past decade, and apart from economic policy, Mr. Costello had been basically a weathercock.

'The republic is a good example. When he was undermining John Hewson's leadership in Opposition, he was a fierce monarchist. But then when it seemed that every reputable commentator was a republican, but Howard was a monarchist, Costello switched.'

There is of course another interpretation. This is that Mr. Costello was merely telling republicans alive today to forget about Australia becoming a republic. Perhaps he is saying that a republic which is both acceptable to the people and which will improve our system is unattainable and is at least a century away. And perhaps he is adding – but please remember, I am modern and up-to date – I am republican."